

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/570,738	Applicant(s) MEDOW ET AL.
	Examiner Philip R. Wiest	Art Unit 3761

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Philip R. Wiest. (3) _____.

(2) Craig Feishko. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) rejection of Claims 1 and 58 in view of Rubenstein and Drevet

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 58

Prior art documents discussed:

Rubenstein, Drevet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed amendments to the claims (specifically moving claim 8 into claims 1 and 58) that would put the application into condition for allowance. The interview did not result in an allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Philip R Wiest/
Examiner, Art Unit 3761

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)